http://journal.umpalangkaraya.ac.id/index.php/bjop/article/view/1985

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33084/bjop.v4i3.1985

e-ISSN: 2621-4814

Research Article

Medical and Pharmacy Students' Attitudes Towards Physician-Pharmacist Collaboration in Indonesia: A Case Study Approach

Nisa Febrinasari*

Hudan Taufiq Futikha Hudaningrum

Department of Pharmacy, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

*email: nisafebrie@unissula.ac.id

Keywords:

Attitudes Collaboration Interprofessional education Medical students Pharmacy students

Abstract

Learning process evaluation of Interprofessional Education (IPE) was crucial to determine the implementation process's success. The evaluation can be done by researching student attitudes in IPE activities. Research on students' attitudes in medical education and pharmacy study programs is expected to be a guideline for the IPE curriculum drafting team at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, to develop future IPE learning activities. The study design was observational analytic with a cross-sectional method involving all medical and pharmacy students of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung who had participated in a Small Group Discussion with TB management and met the inclusion criteria. Data collection was carried out in August 2020 using an online google form questionnaire. Data obtained through the Scale of Attitudes Toward Psychic-Pharmacist Collaboration questionnaire contains 16 questions tested for validity and reliability. Questions were answered using a 4-point Likert Scale. The statistical test analysis results on the total score of the collaboration attitude scale using the Mann Whitney Test was a p-value of 0.000. The median total score of medical and pharmacy students was 47.00 and 56.00, respectively. The p-value indicates a significant difference between the total scores of medical and pharmacy students. Based on the analysis results, the study shows significant differences in collaboration attitudes. Medical education students fall into the "moderate" category and pharmacy students in the "high" category. The pharmacy students have a more positive collaboration attitude than medical education students toward future collaborative relationships.

Received: January 11th, 2021 Accepted: August 18th, 2021 Published: August 30th, 2021



© 2021 Nisa Febrinasari, Hudan Taufiq, Futikha Hudaningrum. Published by Institute for Research and Community Services Universitas Muhammadiyah Palangkaraya. This is an Open Access article under the CC-BY-SA License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/). DOI: https://doi.org/10.33084/bjop.v4i3.1985

INTRODUCTION

The framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice states that the interprofessional team can provide a more comprehensive approach to managing and preventing disease. Therefore, collaborative processes and practices between physicians and pharmacists are essential to improve patient outcomes^{1,2}. However, collaborative practice has not been fully implemented^{3,4}.

The lack of collaboration between health workers teams is also based on the Interprofessional Education process, which has not been widely implemented in the university learning curriculum⁵. The curriculum preparation process must be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether the learning goals to be achieved are appropriate or otherwise⁶. One way to evaluate interprofessional education learning is to conduct research related to student's collaboration attitudes⁷.

The interprofessional education process should have been widely implemented in various universities around

the world. Kuwait University Health Sciences Center (HSC) in Kuwait is one of the universities that has applied interprofessional education-based learning since 20055. The success of the university's learning process is measured through the collaborative attitudes of every pharmacy and medical student. Students are given a questionnaire to measure using the scale of collaborative attitudes and illustrate their responses whether they agree or strongly agree on the survey statement, reflecting a positive attitude towards the collaborative relationship between physicians and pharmacists as well as setting aside gaps that are a barrier in the implementation process⁵. Pratiwi et al.⁸ also reported that each health worker can provide interventions related to patient treatment decision-making through mutual consideration in interprofessional education.

Research related to the interprofessional education learning process evaluation is essential to determine the implementation process's success. The evaluation process can be carried out by researching student attitudes towards interprofessional education activities. Setiadi et al.9 had similar research, but the study was only one side view from pharmacy students' background perspective about collaboration with the physician. Therefore, study about views from both perspectives (pharmacy and medical students) urgently needs to be done. Research on students' attitudes in medical education and pharmacy study programs is also expected to be a guideline for the interprofessional education curriculum drafting team at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, to develop interprofessional education learning activities in the future. Hence, the purpose of this study was to measure attitude differences of undergraduate pharmacy and medical students toward physicianpharmacist collaboration after interprofessional education activities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Data collection in this study was carried out by using a google form online questionnaire and could be accessed in https://bit.ly/SkripsiFutikha2020.

Methods

This research was an observational analytic study with cross-sectional methods because the independent and dependent variables were obtained simultaneously. The independent variables in this study were students of medical and pharmacy education, with collaboration attitudes as the dependent variable. The research process begins after obtaining ethical approval. Ethical clearance in the study has been reviewed by the Medical/Health Research Bioethics Commission, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, as listed with number 283/VIII/2020/Ethics Committee.

The research implementation gives the respondents freedom in terms of availability and complete information that refers to research activities that were described in full. All information obtained from respondents was kept confidential and only used for research purposes. The confidentiality of the respondent's name also was maintained by not including the respondent's name. Informed consent was given and clearly stated to respondents in order to protect the respondent's rights. Several things were explained to the respondent, such as the purpose of the research and the research contents.

Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung applied student-based learning in their curriculum (therefore, department of pharmacy and medical apply module system). In 2020, the interprofessional education module was not designed yet by the curriculum team in the faculty. Consequently, in applying interprofessional education agenda activities in faculty, every department must conduct a meeting and search for a theme that the

medical department and pharmacy department had in the common topic area in their module, which was held simultaneously. Moreover, it could be concluded that the respiration module of the medical student class of 2018 has the same topic area as the community module of the pharmacy student class of 2016. Hence, the study population was the medical education students' class of 2018 who took the respiration module and pharmacy students class of 2016 who participated in the community module. Both groups attended the interprofessional education small group discussion (SGD) regarding the case study scenario about the management of tuberculosis on 25 and 29 August 2020 and met the inclusion criteria.

The pharmacy and medical students were invited to complete the Indonesian version of the Scale of Attitudes Toward Pharmacist-Physician Collaboration (SATP2C). The questionnaire was translated by Setiadi *et al.*⁹ and was initially developed by Van Winkle *et al.*¹⁰. This scale includes 16 Likert-type items on a 4-point scale (1: strongly disagree; 2: disagree; 3: agree; 4: strongly agree). All items were directly scored except for the 9th, a reverse-scored item (1: strongly agree; 2: agree; 3: disagree 4: strongly disagree). The respondent could score between 16 and 64. A high score means a more positive attitude about the relationship between physicians and pharmacists. Data analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test's discriminability test because the data were not normally distributed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study was conducted to determine differences in collaboration attitudes between medical and pharmacy students on interprofessional education activities. Respondents in the study were medical students' class of 2018 who entered their 4th semester and pharmacy students' class of 2016 who entered their 8th semester.

Pharmacy students of 2018 did not have a subject of pharmacotherapy yet, so the upperclassmen were chosen because they could collaborate with medical students and were eligible for this research. Both groups participated in the SGD on August 25th, 2020 (SGD 1) and August 29th, 2020 (SGD 2).

The data collected during August 2020 was 205 respondents, consisting of 153 medical students' class 2018 and 52 pharmacy students' class 2016. The results obtained were data tabulation, coding, and data entry, followed by normality and homogeneity tests as shown in **Table I**. The normality test results in the total score of medical and pharmacy students' using Kolmogorov-Smirnov conclude that the data were not distributed normally. The homogeneity test result using the Levene test is a sig value of 0.261, which was more than 0.05. Hence the data was homogeneous. The results did not meet the parametric test requirements, which must be normally distributed and homogeneous. Therefore, a non-parametric test was carried out.

Table I. Normality, homogeneity, and Mann-Whitney Test results of SATP2C score

1004110 01 01111 20 00010					
Test		Sig Value	Interpretation		
Normality:	Medical	0.000	Not normally		
Kolmogorov-	Education		distributed		
Smirnov	Pharmacy	0.051	Normally		
	-		distributed		
Homogenity: Levene Test		0.261	Homogenous		
Non-Parametric Test: Mann-		0.000	Significantly		
Whitney Test			different		
Median of medical students'		47.00	"Moderate"		
Median of pharmacy students'		56.00	"High"		

Discriminability test results using the Mann-Whitney Test obtained Asymp Sig. (2-tailed) results of 0.000, which was less than 0.05, means a significant difference in the collaborative attitude between students of medical and pharmacy study programs. The median total score of medical students was 47.00, indicating a "moderate" collaborative attitude because it was in the range of 32-47 value. The median score of pharmacy students is 56.00 indicating a "high" collaborative attitude because it was in

the range of 48-64 value. Therefore, it could be interpreted that the pharmacy students had a more positive attitude towards the collaborative relationship between the two groups. Response analysis of all questions was presented in **Table II**.

Table II. Items question response analysis

		Medical		Pharmacy			
No	Items	students		students		p- value	
1 Pharmacis	Pharmacists	mean 2.90	SD 0.584	3.65	SD 0.670	0.000	
	are qualified	2.90	0.564	3.03	0.670	0.000	
	to assess and respond to						
	patients' drug						
	treatment						
2	needs Pharmacists	3.04	0.515	3.67	0.480	0.000	
	can contribute						
	to decisions regarding						
	drug						
	interaction that can affect						
	the patients.						
3	Pharmacists have special	2.74	0.489	3.60	0.486	0.000	
	have special expertise in						
	counseling						
	patients on drug						
	treatment.						
4	Pharmacists should clarify	3.21	0.512	3.63	0.474	0.000	
	a physician's						
	order when they feel that it						
	might have						
	detrimental						
	effects on the patient						
5	Physicians	3.34	0.595	3.73	0.491	0.000	
	and pharmacists						
	should be						
	educated to establish						
	collaborative						
6	relationships There are	2.73	0.620	2.90	0.569	0.000	
Ü	many	2.70	0.020	2.50	0.007	0.000	
	overlapping areas of						
	responsibility						
	between pharmacists						
	and						
	physicians in						
	drug treatment of						
_	the patients.	• • •			0.40=		
7	Physicians should consult	2.94	0.535	3.52	0.495	0.000	
	pharmacists						
	for helping patients with						
	adverse						
	reaction or refractory to						
	drug						
0	treatment Interprofessio	3.24	0.505	2.60	0.405	0.000	
8	nal	3.24	0.585	3.69	0.495	0.000	
	relationships						
	between physicians						
	and						
	pharmacists should be						
	included in						
	their professional						
	education						
	programs.						

9	Physicians	3.05	0.596	3.56	0.783	0.000
_	should be made aware	3.03	0.570	3.30	0.703	0.000
	that					
	pharmacists					
	can help in providing the					
right dru						
10	treatment Both	3.00	0.473	3.60	0.503	0.000
	pharmacists					
	and physicians					
	should					
	regarding the					
	medicine					
	given to the patients.					
11	During their	3.34	0.535	3.63	0.576	0.000
	education, pharmacy and					
	medical					
	students should be					
	involved in					
	teamwork in order to					
	understand					
	their respective					
	roles					
12	Pharmacists as well as	3.15	0.521	3.46	0.486	0.000
	physicians					
	should have responsibility					
	for monitoring					
	the effects of drugs on the					
	patients					
13	Pharmacists should be	2.78	0.515	3.62	0.448	0.000
	accountable to					
	patients for the drug they					
	provide					
14	A physician should be	3.29	0.599	3.54	0.505	0.003
	viewed as a					
	collaborator and colleague					
	with a					
	pharmacist rather than					
	his/her					
15	superior Pharmacists	3.01	0.510	3.46	0.502	0.000
	should be	0.01	0.010	0.40	0.002	0.000
	involved in making drug					
police cor the hos ma up the	policy					
	decisions concerning					
	the					
	hospital/phar macy services					
	upon which					
	their work depends					
16	The primary	2.42	0.497	2.77	0.506	0.00
	function of the pharmacist is					
	to fill the					
	physician's					
	prescription without					
	question					

As many as 175 medical students' class of 2018 (response rate 93%) and 60 pharmacy students' class of 2016 (response rate 100%) were able to fill and complete the questionnaire well. The questionnaire validity test results

conducted on 30 respondents consisting of 22 medical students and eight pharmacy students were analyzed by looking at the Pearson Product Moment correlation showed that all items of the 16 questions were valid. The results of the questionnaire reliability test by looking at the Cronbach's alpha value was 0.933. The questionnaire was reliable and classified at the "Very Reliable" level 11. The results of other studies that show significant differences in physician-pharmacist collaboration attitudes were also shown in the results of research by Prado et al¹². The study shows significant differences in the collaborative attitudes of medical and pharmacy students at Federal University of Sergipe, Brazil. Prado et al¹² also reported that pharmacy students [total attitude scale score median (SD) 57.5 (4.7)] had a significantly higher collaborative attitude than medical students [total

attitude scale score median (SD) 51.1 (6.4)] (p=0.001).

Another study by Katoue et al.5 on medical and

pharmacy students at the Kuwait University Health Science Center. From the study, it was reported that

pharmacy students [total score of attitude scale median (IQR) 58.0 (12.0)] had significantly higher collaborative

attitudes than medical students [total score of attitude

scale median (IQR) 49.0 (10.0) (p < 0.001).

The pharmacy students' collaborative attitude was based on the future aspiration to carry out pharmaceutical practices. It is aspired to make the pharmacists work processes enable high involvement, have an active role in patient's health, and cooperate with other health workers, especially physicians in the patient therapy process^{13,14}. Meanwhile, as part of their professional culture, physicians are trained to be independent and individually responsible for their decisions and actions^{15,16}. According to the existing tradition, physicians take total responsibility for patient outcomes and are still reluctant to involve other practitioners in the clinical decision-making process¹⁷. According to Cunha *et al.*³

and Vegesna *et al.*⁴, the tendency to not seek to have collaborative relationships is also because physicians consider themselves in a higher power hierarchy among other intervention teams. Such circumstances have been confirmed in several multinational studies. Physicians in four countries (Israel, Italy, Mexico, and the United States) have lower scores than nurses on the attitude scale towards physicians and nurses' interprofessional collaboration¹⁸.

Another factor that causes medical students' low level of collaboration attitude is the lack of "trust" in pharmacists' role. For example, Prado *et al*¹². points out that pharmacists' patient-centered approach was considered new and underdeveloped. New facts analyzed by Prado *et al*¹². also show that pharmacists' role has not been fully implemented in Brazil, so medical students did not know about the role direction of the pharmacist profession. Hence, introducing collaborative practices between professions during education and graduation is essential to foster a better understanding of the health care team's role¹⁹.

Paying further attention to the importance of interprofessional education learning at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, educational strategy must be better designed to provide interprofessional learning opportunities. Ideally, the strategy could be delivered using interactive teaching modalities starting at the earliest stages of both academic programs. Medical and pharmacy students can attend interprofessional education to focus on communication and interpersonal skills, analyze ethical issues, recognize and appreciate each profession's roles and responsibilities in patient care²⁰. Students' involvement in such interactive interprofessional education will improve their teamwork skills, instill mutual respect, and foster a collaborative attitude as future health care professionals, with the ultimate goal of improving patient quality⁵.

Pharmaceutical care has long been integrated into the Faculty of Pharmacy at Kuwait University's curriculum designed to prepare the graduates with clinical knowledge, skills, and a positive professional attitude for patient care practice⁵. Successful patient nursing practice is oriented towards responsibility, accountability, coordination, communication, cooperation, mutual trust, and respect. Therefore, these basic principles should also be part of the Interprofessional Education curriculum, where both medical and pharmacy students begin to learn the concepts²¹. Understanding and learning the values of various professions and disciplines is an essential component of the Interprofessional Education curriculum. Students will become more competent in their education, and more significant insight is gained into their roles in the healthcare team. Eventually, students will begin to understand the relationship between the health sciences and other healthcare professions²².

CONCLUSION

There is a significant difference in the total collaboration attitudes between medical and pharmacy students (p 0.000). Medical students are in the "moderate" category and pharmacy students in the "high" category. The pharmacy students have a more positive collaboration attitude than medical education students toward future collaborative relationships. It is recommended to conduct research related to physician-pharmacist collaboration obstacles to evaluate the implementation process of interprofessional education.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research project was supported by a grant from the LPPM Universitas Islam Sultan Agung.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Nisa Febrinasari: conceptualization, supervision, writing original draft and editing. **Hudan Taufiq**: resources and supervision. **Futikha Hudaningrum**: Investigator, writing-review and editing.

DATA AVAILABILITY

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Gilbert JHV, Yan J, Hoffman SJ. A WHO report: framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. J Allied Health. 2010;39(Suppl 1):196-7
- Green BN, Johnson CD. Interprofessional collaboration in research, education, and clinical practice: working together for a better future. J Chiropr Educ. 2015;29(1):1-10. doi:10.7899/JCE-14-36
- Cunha L, Neves S, Marques TC, Araújo D, Alcantara T, Lyra DP. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation to Brazil of the scale of attitudes toward physicianpharmacists collaboration. Pharm Pract. 2017;15(2):872. doi:10.18549/pharmpract.2017.02.872
- Vegesna A, Coschignano C, Hegarty SE, Karagiannis T, Polenzani L, Messina E, et al. Attitudes towards physician-nurse collaboration in a primary care teambased setting: Survey-based research conducted in the chronic care units of the Tuscany region of Italy. J Interprof Care. 2016;30(1):65-70. doi:10.3109/13561820.2015.1081878
- Katoue MG, Awad AI, Al-Jarallah A, Al-Ozairi E, Schwinghammer TL. Medical and pharmacy students' attitudes towards physician-pharmacist collaboration in Kuwait. Pharm Pract. 2017;15(3):1029. doi:10.18549/pharmpract.2017.03.1029
- Kristina TN, Sudaryanto S, Asmara FY, Nuryanto N, Wirakusumah F, Syukriani Y. Community-Based Health-Professions Interprofessional Education: A

- Collaborative and Sustainable Model. Jurnal Pendidikan Kedokteran Indonesia The Indonesian Journal of Medical Education. 2018;7(1):46-53. doi:10.22146/jpki.35543
- Murphy JE, Liles AM, Bingham AL, Chamberlin KW, Dang DK, Hill LG, et al. Interprofessional education: Principles and application. An update from the American College of Clinical Pharmacy. J Am Coll Clin Pharm. 2018;1(1):17-28. doi:10.1002/jac5.1025
- 8. Pratiwi DR, Adespin DA, Soeharto BP. Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Kinerja Mahasiswa S-1 Pendidikan Dokter Universitas Diponegoro Dalam Pelaksanaan Kegiatan Interprofessional Education Tahun 2017. Jurnal Kedokteran Diponegoro. 2018;7(2):667-75. doi:10.14710/dmj.v7i2.20716
- Setiadi AP, Wibowo Y, Irawati S, Setiawan E, Presley B, Gudka S, et al. Indonesian pharmacists' and pharmacy students' attitudes towards collaboration with physicians. Pharm Pract. 2017;15(4):1052. doi:10.18549/pharmpract.2017.04.1052
- Van Winkle LJ, Fjortoft N, Hojat M. Validation of an instrument to measure pharmacy and medical students' attitudes toward physician-pharmacist collaboration. Am J Pharm Educ. 2011;75(9):178. doi:10.5688/ajpe759178
- 11. Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. Int J Med Educ. 2011;2:53-5. doi:10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd
- 12. Prado FO, Rocha KS, AraúJo DC, Cunha LC, Marques TC, Lyra DP. Evaluation of students' attitudes towards pharmacist-physician collaboration in Brazil. Pharm Pract. 2018;16(4):1277. doi:10.18549/pharmpract.2018.04.1277
- 13. Rahayu SA, Widianto S, Defi IR, Abdulah R. Role of Pharmacists in the Interprofessional Care Team for Patients with Chronic Diseases. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2021;14:1701-10. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S309938
- Kelly DV, Bishop L, Young S, Hawboldt J, Phillips L, Keough TM. Pharmacist and physician views on collaborative practice. Can Pharm J. 2013;146(4):218-26. doi:10.1177/1715163513492642
- Löffler C, Koudmani C, Böhmer F, Paschka SD, Höck J, Drewelow E, et al. Perceptions of interprofessional collaboration of general practitioners and community pharmacists - a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):224. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2157-8

- Mazhar F, Ahmed Y, Haider N, Al Ghamdi F. Community pharmacist and primary care physician collaboration: The missing connection in pharmaceutical care. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2016;12(3):273-5. doi:10.1016/j.jtumed.2016.06.008
- 17. Majid S, Foo S, Luyt B, Zhang X, Theng YL, Chang YK, et al. Adopting evidence-based practice in clinical decision making: nurses' perceptions, knowledge, and barriers. J Med Libr Assoc. 2011;99(3):229-36. doi:10.3163/1536-5050.99.3.010
- Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Nasca TJ, Fields SK, Cicchetti A, Lo Scalzo A, et al. Comparisons of American, Israeli, Italian and Mexican physicians and nurses on the total and factor scores of the Jefferson scale of attitudes toward physician-nurse collaborative relationships. Int J Nurs Stud. 2003;40(4):427-35. doi:10.1016/s0020-7489(02)00108-6
- Rosen MA, DiazGranados D, Dietz AS, Benishek LE, Thompson D, Pronovost PJ, et al. Teamwork in Healthcare: Key Discoveries Enabling Safer, High-Quality Care. Am Pyschol. 2018;73(4):433-50. doi:10.1037/amp0000298
- Bridges DR, Davidson RA, Odegard PS, Maki IV, Tomkowiak J. Interprofessional collaboration: three best practice models of interprofessional education. Med Educ Online. 2011;16:6035. doi:10.3402/meo.v16i0.6035
- 21. Ascione FJ. Preparing Pharmacists for Collaborative/Integrated Health Settings. Pharmacy. 2019;7(2):47. doi:10.3390/pharmacy7020047
- 22. Birk TJ. Principles for Developing an Interprofessional Education Curriculum in a Healthcare Program. J Healthc Commun. 2017;2:1. doi:10.4172/2472-1654.100049